Master Vinifera

Evaluation of oral defense in Project Management in Science

	Student Name						
Instructions/ guidelines followed	Timely presented	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
	Scientific presentation format (intro., mat. & methods, results and discussion)	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
Presentation format	Graphs and figures well chosen and well presented (title, legend)	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
	Strictness in the expression	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
	Informative answers to the questions	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
Introduction	Bibliographic context well synthesized	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
	Question about the data set well identified	../2	../2	../2	../2	../2	../2
Material and Methods	Translation of the biological question into one or more statistical hypothesis	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
	Variable and factors well identified	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
	The statistical(s) model(s) is(are) well written (mathematical notations not R notations)	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
	Statistical test cited and well explained	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
Results	Relevance of the figures and tables for the demonstration	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
	The assumption of the model(s) is (are) validated on the residuals	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
	Unbiased presentation of the results (no discussion mixed with the results)	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
	Correlations between variables	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
Discussion and conclusion	Relevance of the bibliographic references used (in grapevine community and about other plants/ scientific context)	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
	Speculation proposal to explain the results obtained	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
	Scientific questions proposal to continue to study this subject	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
	Presentation of the limits of the experimental design/ the experiment	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
Conclusion	Take home messages well reminded	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1	../1
Global mark		../20	../20	../20	../20	../20	../20